# TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER PANEL 25/07/2019 at 5.30 pm



Present: Councillor Garry (Chair)

Councillors Murphy and Al-Hamdani (Substitute)

Also in Attendance:

Gary Sutcliffe Unity Highways

Kaidy McCann Constitutional Services

Darryll Elwood Unity Partnershp

#### 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Akhtar and Councillor C. Gloster.

## 2 URGENT BUSINESS

There were no items of urgent business received.

### 3 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest received.

### 4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

There were no public questions received.

#### 5 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

**RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> June 2019 be approved as a correct record.

# 6 OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED PROHIBITION OF WAITING - LANSDOWNE ROAD AREA, CHADDERTON

The Panel gave consideration to a report which proposed to introduce a Prohibition of Waiting Order in the Lansdowne Road Area of Chadderton in the form of double yellow lines.

The proposal had been approved under delegated powers on 4<sup>th</sup> February 2019 and subsequently advertised. Two letters of objection had been received. The basis of the objections was that there was no parking available for the staff and customers of the nearby businesses. Ward Councillors have shown support requesting the proposal be extended.

Observations showed that excessive parking did take place on Lansdowne Road and Stockfield Road. Whilst the parking on Arkwright Street was minimal, if it was not to be included in the proposal, parking could be displaced to this street creating difficulties for vehicles entering and exiting the waste disposal depot. The visibility and free flow of traffic was obstructed due to larger vehicles parking on the streets. The sight lines for

motorists entering and exiting premises/junctions can become obstructed, creating a highway safety issue.

Options considered: -

7

Option 1: to approve the amended recommendations.

Option 2: not to approve the amended recommendations.

**RESOLVED** that, notwithstanding the objections received, the double yellow lines be introduced in the Lansdowne Road Area in accordance with the schedule at the end of the report and the proposal relating to extending those lines be advertised.



The Panel gave consideration to a report seeking approval of the making of a Combined Diversion, Modification of Definitive Map and Statement Order for Footpath 83 Crompton (part) and an Extinguishment Order for the un-recorded highway at Heyhill Farm, Low Crompton Road, Royton.

A 'Draft Guidance on diversion or extinguishment of rights of way that pass-through gardens, farmyards and Commercial premises' had been published by the Government that described the problems of Public Rights of Ways that passed through contained spaces, such as private gardens.

The application had been considered in the light of the draft guidance, in the interests of the residents and footpath users, it was considered that the footpaths should be diverted/extinguished and that delegation be given to Officers to carry out the necessary procedures in the event that no objections to the order are received.

Options considered: -

Option 1: to approve the amended recommendation. Option 2: not to approve the amended recommendation.

#### **RESOLVED** that:

- a Combined Public Path Diversion Order for the diversion of Footpath 83 Crompton (part) under Section 119 of the Highways Act 1980 as detailed in the report and officers be authorised to carry out the necessary procedures with a view to confirming the Order in the event that no objections are made to the Order be approved.
- 2. Modification Order to the Definitive Map and Statement for Footpath 83 (part) as detailed in the report be approved.
- 3. Public Path Extinguishment Order for the un-recorded highway at Heyhill Farm, Low Crompton Road, Royton as detailed in the report under Section 118 of the Highways Act 1980 and officers be authorised to carry out the necessary procedures with a view to confirming the Order in the event that no objections are made to the Order be approved.



The meeting started at 5.37 pm and ended at 5.48 pm

